

PBIS Forum 16 Practice Brief: Evaluation Within PBIS Implementation

PBIS Leadership Forum- *Roundtable Dialogue*

December 2016

Introduction

This practice brief is intended to be a practical tool and resource for educators interested in evaluating Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) implementation. The lessons learned, core features, evaluation measures, evaluation process, and needs included in this practice brief were drawn from sessions and presentations focused on evaluation within PBIS implementation at the 2016 PBIS National Forum in Chicago-Rosemont.

Rationale

The purpose of evaluating PBIS implementation is to determine the impact that systems and practices have on students, educators, and school environments. Effective evaluation documents the extent to which the implementation process is meeting its goals, using appropriate activities, being implemented as intended, and succeeding in a manner that is generating evidence suitable for replicating, sustaining, and improving the program (Algozzine et al., 2010).


Lessons Learned

There is a shift in evaluation within PBIS implementation—a change in how educators operate and evaluate. In the past, there has been an emphasis on large scale, multi-measure, broad evaluation often requiring considerable time and personnel. Current evaluation practices focus on targeted evaluation and the use of more efficient evaluation tools. Evaluation has also shifted from evaluation as observation to evaluation being an active part of the implementation process. This more active process includes the collection and interpretation of evaluation data and the development of an action plan-- all linked to the original driving questions developed by the school, school team, or derived from the Evaluation Blueprint for SWPBIS (School-Wide PBIS) (Algozzine et al., 2010).

Core Features of Program Evaluation

The Evaluation Blueprint provides evaluators with a comprehensive framework that can be used to address evaluation questions, select evaluation measures, develop an evaluation schedule, and use evaluation information for decision-making (Algozzine et al., 2010). The Evaluation Blueprint is centered around core evaluation questions that address indicators related to the *context* of implementation (e.g., who, where, when, why), the *input* that directs implementation (e.g., what), the *fidelity* of implementation (e.g., how), and the *impact* of implementation on student outcomes (Algozzine et al., 2010). To summarize the core features of program evaluation highlighted in the Evaluation Blueprint, Goodman and Niedermeyer (2016) emphasized a cyclical evaluation process: plan/define/do/document.

Core Features of a Program Evaluation



B. Algozzine (2015)

PBIS Forum 16 Practice Brief: Evaluation Within PBIS Implementation

PBIS Leadership Forum- *Roundtable Dialogue*

December 2016

Developing a PBIS evaluation plan includes identifying the purpose of the evaluation, identifying a team to coordinate and facilitate the evaluation process, and determining a timeline for each of the core components of evaluation. A logic model can also be used to document and illustrate PBIS implementation during the evaluation process. Development of a logic model for PBIS includes identifying (a) targeted receivers (e.g., student, teacher, leadership team), (b) processes and activities delivered to the targeted receivers, and (c) the impact and outcomes of those activities (Goodman & Niedermeyer, 2016). After a plan is developed, the evaluation questions to be answered are identified and defined. Evaluators can find evaluation questions focused on context, input, fidelity, and input in the Evaluation Blueprint. To answer the evaluation questions, evaluation measures are selected and used to gather data. Once data is collected from the evaluation measures, the data is analyzed to document what was accomplished and the difference PBIS implementation made. The plan/define/do/document process is repeated and continued to inform decision making related to replication, sustainability, and improvement of PBIS.

Evaluation Measures

As indicated in the plan/define/do/document process described by Goodman and Niedermeyer (2016), a core feature of evaluation within PBIS implementation is the selection of evaluation measures. A review of PBIS evaluation measures indicates that there are over eight tools available to evaluate universal, secondary, and tertiary levels of PBIS implementation. As evaluation practices shift, an emphasis is being placed on using fewer measures that are comprehensive, effective, and efficient. One such measure is the Tiered Fidelity Inventory (TFI) (Algozzine et al., 2014).

The TFI measures the extent to which school personnel are implementing core features of Tiers I, II, and III of PBIS (Algozzine et al., 2014). The TFI is based on existing PBIS evaluation measures (e.g., SET, BoQ, TIC, SAS, BAT, MATT) and it is an efficient-- but also valid and reliable-- evaluation measure that can be used to guide initial and sustained PBIS implementation (Algozzine et al., 2014). There are also several resources for school teams within the TFI, including a Walkthrough Tool Interview and Observation Form, Action Planning Form, and a Targeted Interventions Reference Guide. There is no cost for the TFI or the scoring and reporting features online, allowing school teams to easily access the measure and the resources included. Overall, the TFI is an efficient and effective evaluation measure for PBIS implementation that allows school teams to target and evaluate each tier of PBIS and use results to improve and sustain systems and practices.

Evaluation Process

The evaluation practices and resources presented at the 2016 PBIS National Forum and summarized in this brief describe a process. A snapshot of this process is outlined below. The resources that apply to each step of the process are included in parenthesis.

Where to start

- Make a plan: Identify the purpose for evaluation, a team to facilitate evaluation, and a timeline to conduct the evaluation and report results (see Evaluation Blueprint and Goodman & Niedermeyer, 2016, for logic model)
- Identify evaluation questions (see Evaluation Blueprint)

PBIS Forum 16 Practice Brief: Evaluation Within PBIS Implementation

PBIS Leadership Forum- *Roundtable Dialogue*

December 2016

- Select appropriate evaluation measures (e.g., TFI) and gather data that will answer the evaluation questions (see Conley & Sampson, 2016 for data gathering and Evaluation Blueprint for questions)

Where to go

- Analyze data and answer evaluation questions
- Develop actionable plans: Identify what will improve implementation, based on information from evaluation measures and data collection (see Evaluation Blueprint and TFI Action Planning Form)
- Report evaluation results: Executive summary, goals, activities, outcomes (capacity, reach, fidelity, impact), lessons learned, and next steps (see Evaluation Blueprint and <http://www.pbis.org/evaluation> for examples)

Needs

Despite continued advancement in evaluation practices with PBIS, there continues to be expressed needs including the development of Pre-K evaluation tools and increased PBIS team capacity to analyze and use data. In addition, many evaluators and PBIS implementers are interested in strategies for directing resources to local needs and removing competing activities; and systematically gathering data that is accurate in order to take action based on data for continuous improvement. Future research, training, and presentations should address these needs.

This practice brief provided an overview of evaluation within PBIS implementation drawn from sessions and presentations at the 2016 PBIS National Forum in Chicago-Rosemont. The lessons learned, core features, evaluation measures, and evaluation process described in this brief can be used as a starting point for conceptualizing evaluation within PBIS; and, direct those involved in the evaluation process to additional resources to carry out effective and efficient evaluation.

References

- Algozzine, B. (2015, October 22). *Evaluation Planning and Reporting for School Climate Transformation Grant (SCTG) Sites*. National PBIS Leadership Forum. Rosemont, IL. Retrieved from <https://www.pbis.org/presentations/chicago-forum-15>
- Algozzine, B., Barrett, S., Eber, L., George, H., Horner, R., Lewis, T., Putnam, B., Swain-Bradway, J., McIntosh, K., & Sugai, G. (2014). *School-wide PBIS Tiered Fidelity Inventory*. OSEP Technical Assistance Center on Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports. Retrieved from <http://www.pbis.org/evaluation/evaluation-tools>
- Algozzine, B., Horner, R. H., Sugai, G., Barrett, S., Dickey, S. R., Eber, L., Kincaid, D., et al. (2010). *Evaluation blueprint for school-wide positive behavior support*. Eugene, OR: National Technical Assistance Center on Positive Behavior Interventions and Support. Retrieved from www.pbis.org
- Conley, K., & Sampson, N. (2016). *Organizing and analyzing data at tier II and tier III*. PBIS National Forum. Chicago, Illinois. Retrieved from <https://www.pbis.org/presentations/chicago-forum-16>
- Goodman, S., & Niedermeyer, H. (2016). *Developing evaluation plans and reports*. PBIS National Forum. Chicago, Illinois. Retrieved from <https://www.pbis.org/presentations/chicago-forum-16>