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• School-wide Positive Behavior Support: Implementers’ Blueprint and Self-Assessment
• Evaluation Blueprint for School-Wide Positive Behavior Support
• Blueprint for School-wide Positive Behavior Support Training and Professional Development

Implementation Stages and Phases of Learning

**Systems**
- Exploration
- Installation
- Initial Implementation
- Full Implementation
- Innovation
- Sustainability

**Individual Learning**
- Acquisition
- Fluency
- Maintenance & Generalization

Aligning Initiatives

• Academic
• Social/Emotional & Mental Health
Starting Point:

- We cannot “make” students learn or behave
- We can create **environments** to increase the likelihood students learn and behave

**Environments** that increase the likelihood are guided by a core curriculum and implemented with consistency and fidelity

Multi-Tiered System of Support

- A team approach
- Multi-tiered systems of support is an integrated, tiered systemic framework of integrating various supports that schools use/employ for supporting the academic and behavioral needs of students across a district
- Complex process that includes:
  - Universal Screening
  - Data-Based Decision Making
  - Continuous Progress Monitoring
  - Student Performance
  - Continuum of Evidenced Based Practices
  - Focus on Fidelity of Implementation

Three Levels of Implementation

A Continuum of Support for All

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Systems</th>
<th>Behavioral Systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tier Three</td>
<td>Tier Three</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Students</td>
<td>Individual Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment-based</td>
<td>Assessment-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Intensity</td>
<td>Intense, durable procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tier Two</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some students (at-risk)</td>
<td>Some students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High efficiency</td>
<td>High-efficiency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Rapid response   | Rapid response
| Tier One         | Tier One           |
| All students     | All settings, all students |
| Preventive, proactive | Preventive, proactive |

Components of MTSS

- **Universal Screening** – systematic assessment of all students on both academic and behavioral measures
- **Data-Based Decision Making** – a cycle of screening, planning, implementing evidence-based practices, and evaluating student progress
- **Continuous Progress Monitoring** – consistent monitoring to ensure instruction is matched to students’ needs
- **Student Performance** – student outcomes (academic & behavior)
- **Continuum of Evidenced Based Practices** – continuum of practices with proven research and evidence to meet students’ learning goals
- **Focus on Fidelity of Implementation** – ensuring practices are implemented as intended
Understanding the Process of MTSS

- Independent systems (e.g., RTI, PBIS, etc.) have overlapping systemic components
- Goal – aligning these components within one structure
- Includes system-level components and the corresponding supports for meeting the diverse needs of students

Why Alignment is Key

- Behavior and academics are both important to a student’s ability to learn
- Behavior and academics can both impact each other
  - Improved behavior means less time spent on discipline, leaving more time for academics
  - High quality academic instruction keeps students engaged and less likely to engage in disruptive behavior
- Cross-disciplinary and cross-functional teams are essential

SW-PBS Problem Solving Logic

1. Establish Ground Rules
   - Keep focus on outcomes
   - Nothing is sacred, but everything is important
   - Allow for a transition period
   - 2-3 years
2. Start with Data
   • Understand that data are simply a "sample" of what is going on
   • Data must be contextualized
   • Keep the conversation focused on data that are "in your control"

3. Match Practices to Data
   • Strategies, curricula, and resources independent of what is currently in place
   • Don’t limit to what you currently know – outside resources
   • Build your daily school schedule around priorities

4. Align Resources to Implement Practices
   • New roles to reach outcomes will require training and on-going technical assistance (systems)

Key Features of Effective Alignment
   • Align multiple initiatives at the organizational level where a common budget authority exists.
   • Align multiple initiatives by using a common outcome measure to assess effectiveness.
   • Build aligned professional development by comparing and combining the "core features" of multiple initiatives.
   • Compare fundamental assumptions
   • Start with common "core features" and compare the practices used to achieve these features.
   • Determine how to incorporate additional core features with efficiency
   • Build single Professional Development curricula that combine core features.

Goodman & Homer, 2016
Will Require District-wide Systems and Processes

Research Findings on Scaling Up
(Fixsen, Naoom, Blase, Friedman, & Wallace, 2005, p. 70)

- Best evidence documents what doesn’t work:
  - Information dissemination alone
  - Training by itself

Research Findings on Scaling Up
(Fixsen, Naoom, Blase, Friedman, & Wallace, 2005, p. 70)

What does work
- Long term, multi-level approaches
- Skills-based training
- Practice-based coaching
- Practitioner performance-feedback
- Program evaluation
- Facilitative administrative practices
District-Wide Basic Logic

- All schools receive training & support to implement essential Universal behavior support practices and systems - Local variations
- District develops (and revises based on outcomes) a standard Tier II and III system including integrated practices
- A percentage of current behavioral expertise must move from traditional case by case, to supporting school team Tier II & III systems

Example District-wide Tier II System

- Data-based student identification
  - # of behavior infractions (majors/minors)
  - Teacher referral (standard district form)
  - Screening (standard district-wide tool)
- Limit range of practices
  - Self-management (CICO, Check & connect)
  - Social Skill Instruction (2-3 curriculum)
  - Academic Supports (RtI, accommodations)

District Data-Based Decision making
TFI & SAS

Rethinking Professional Development and Technical Assistance

- Moving from a case by case expert model to building "expertise" in the school
- Primary focus of TA is on teaching the school team to solve problems or address challenges for themselves with district resources
- However, need for specialist will always remain to assist students and teachers
Turn & Talk

• Does your school have the pre-requisites to align initiatives?
  • Academic and behavioral data routinely collected and reviewed (data decision rules)
  • Cross-teaming for academic and behavior supports
  • Progress-monitoring
  • Access to professional development and technical assistance

Academics & SWPBS

Universal School-Wide Features

• Clearly define expected behaviors (Rules)
  • All Settings
  • Classrooms
• Procedures for teaching & practicing expected behaviors
• Procedures for providing specific positive feedback
• Procedures for responding to problem behaviors
• Procedures for data-based decision making
• Family Awareness and Involvement
**Additional Impact of School-Wide PBS: Students on IEPs**

- Schools implementing SW-PBS with fidelity have a lower mean percentage of students with IEPs.
- Students with IEPs attending schools participating in SW-PBS have a higher average attendance rate.
- On average, more students with disabilities spend 80% or more of their educational day in inclusive settings in schools participating in SW-PBS.

**Importance of Effective Instruction**

(Sanders, 1999)

- The single biggest factor affecting academic growth of any population of youngsters is the effectiveness of the classroom.
- The answer to why children learn well or not isn’t race, it isn’t poverty, it isn’t even per-pupil expenditure at the elementary level.
- The classroom’s effect on academic growth dwarfs and nearly renders trivial all these other factors that people have historically worried about.
Classroom Universal Essential Practices

1. Classroom expectations & rules defined and taught (all use school-wide, create classroom examples)
2. Procedures & routines defined and taught
3. Continuum of strategies to acknowledge appropriate behavior in place and used with high frequency (4:1)
4. Continuum of strategies to respond to inappropriate behavior in place and used per established school-wide procedure
5. Students are actively supervised (pre-corrects and positive feedback)
6. Students are given multiple opportunities to respond (OTR) to promote high rates of academic engagement
7. Activity sequence promotes optimal instruction time and student engaged time
8. Instruction is differentiated based on student need

Start with Self-Assessment/School-wide Assessment

ENVIRONMENTAL INVENTORY
Rate each feature using the following scale:
1 = inconsistent or unpredictable ... 5 = consistent and predictable

Physical Space: Is physical space organized to allow access to instructional materials?
- Work centers are clearly identified and correspond with instruction: 1 2 3 4 5
- Traffic flow maintains physical contact between peers and maximizes teacher’s mobility:

Attention: Does the teacher gain the attention of the students prior to instruction?
- A consistent and clear attention signal is used across instructional contexts:
- Uses a variety of techniques to gain, maintain, and regain student attention to task:

Time: Does the teacher initiate instructional cues and materials to gain, maintain, and regain student attention?
- Materials are prepared and ready to go: 1 2 3 4 5
- Pre-corrections are given prior to transitions: 1 2 3 4 5
- Common interruptions are anticipated and handled with a consistent procedure: Unexpected interruptions are minimized with an emphasis on: 1 2 3 4 5
Classroom Quiz

1. When the teacher _______, most students stop and listen.
   - Yes
   - Sometimes
   - No

2. When class starts, the teacher has everything ready.
   - Yes
   - Sometimes
   - No

3. Before we start a new activity, the teacher reminds us what we are supposed to do.
   - Yes
   - Sometimes
   - No

4. When we are asked to work by ourselves, all students work quietly and do what they are supposed to do.
   - Yes
   - Sometimes
   - No

5. I often finish my work and do not know what I should be doing while others are still working.
   - Yes
   - Sometimes
   - No

Classroom Systems

- Teach
  - Brief in-service, single topic focus
- Practice (performance feedback)
  - Peer coaching
  - Principal “walk through”
- Direct observation / data collection

Resources - pbismissouri.org

- Data About Teacher & Student Behavior
- Collected by University of Louisville 2008-2015
- 6752 Classroom observations of teacher student dyads
- 3200 in middle/high school setting
Universal Examples

Supporting and Responding to Behavior

Evidence-Based Classroom Strategies for Teachers

Baseline Behavior Data Spring 2008

57 students with 9+ Referrals

- 15% Tier 3
  - Goal 5%
- 16% Tier 2
  - Goal 15%
- 69% Tier 1
  - Goal 80%

1712 referrals
Classroom Universals

• Self-assessment / Review of behavioral infractions
• Literacy block
  • Teacher led small group
  • Independent work
  • Student work group
• Clear procedures & routines
  • Taught & practiced

7% Tier 3
Goal 5%

10% Tier 2
Goal 15%

83% Tier 1
Goal 80%

516 Referrals

Addressing High Percentages of Students At-risk

• School used a universal screening instrument in October of 2012.
• Results indicated that 32.3% of students were in the at-risk or high-risk range.
• Team decided to focus first efforts on implementation of Tier 1 with higher levels of fidelity.
Baseline Data Collection

- Classroom-Level Observations of Effective Classroom Practices
  - Expectations & Rules
  - Procedures & Routines
  - Encouraging Expected Behavior
  - Discouraging Inappropriate Behavior
  - Active Supervision
  - Opportunities to Respond
- Based on data, team identified 1 practice to improve upon.

Initial ratio of positive specific feedback to correctives: 1.85:1

End of Year Outcomes

- ODRs decreased by 39.41% from 2011-2012 to 2012-2013.
- Minor referrals decreased by 34.8% from 2011-2012 to 2012-2013.
- Classroom minor referrals decreased by 33.5% from 2011-2012 to 2012-2013.

Professional Development Process & Data

- October 2012 – Initial Observations, Ratio at 1.85:1
- January 2013 – Staff Professional Development on Positive Specific Feedback
- February 2013 – Follow-up Classroom Observations, Ratio at 2.44:1
- March 2013 – Additional Staff Professional Development with Increased Practice and Supports
- May 2013 – Final Classroom Observations of the School Year, Ratio at 6.55:1

Early Literacy & Behavior

(Kelk & Lewis, 2001)

What are the effects of three instructional conditions a) social skill instruction, b) phonological / phonemic awareness instruction, and c) a combination of social skill instruction and phonological awareness instruction on the reading related and/or social behavior of at-risk kindergarten children?
Study Basics

- **Subject:**
  - Seven years old
  - Identified with EBD and ADHD

- **Setting**
  - General education 2nd grade classroom with 19 other students
  - One licensed teacher and one student teacher

- **Concern**
  - Student exhibits high rates of off-task
  - Student shouts out answers and questions and comments at high rates and often inappropriate

“Function of Behavior”

- Descriptive (interviews and teacher reported ABC/ Scatterplot data)
  - Function identified as **Attention**
  - Significant antecedents: **multiple step direction and group settings**
  - Very High rates of both problem behaviors reported/ inconsistency in accuracy of data collection

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Early Literary Outcome</th>
<th>Social Skill Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phonemic Instruction</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Skill Instruction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonemic and SS Instruction</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Classroom and Tier II “Targeted Supports”
“Environment Assessment”

Significant variables:
• clarity of expectations & directions
• consistency of expectations
• accessibility of class schedules
• lack of enforced procedures (especially regarding to hand raising and verbalizations or entire class)

Classroom Problem Solving Process

• Develop intervention based on function of behavior
• Environment changes
  • Student skills to teach/practice/reinforce
• Monitor progress
  • Same data that brought them to your attention
  • Problem and Appropriate behavior
  • Teacher observations
### Form D
**Grade Level Team Tier 2 Function Based Matching Process**

Student: ___________________  Classroom Teacher: ____________  Grade: ____________  Date: ____________

Complete the Brief Assessment of Function of Behavior & Matching Process with your grade level team.

1. **Summary of the Problem**

We have the most problems during ____________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent Function/Pay Off</th>
<th>Problem Behavior</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Replacement Behavior** (What do we want the student to do instead? An observable behavioral skill [from the Schoolwide PBS expectations matrix])

3. **Intervention Notes**

4. **4. Intervention Plan** (See Antecedent Interventions, Page 26 of Handbook)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent Interventions</th>
<th>Replacement Behavior</th>
<th>Response / Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Replacement Behavior** (what we want the student to do instead)

- Positive Specific Feedback
- Corrective Feedback

5. **Progress Monitoring**
How will teacher monitor progress toward the student goal? (See example forms on pages 27-30)

### Antecedent Intervention Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Intervention Strategy</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gift</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schedule adult attention</td>
<td>Provide adult work with student</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Have adult provide periodic attention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lunch meeting with teacher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Behavior plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade level or teacher titie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schedule peer attention</td>
<td>Peer student with peer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peer tutor or monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide a buddy system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide a buddy system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide preferred activity</td>
<td>When adult is occupied assign another activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjust demand difficulty</td>
<td>Provide extra work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Offer choices</td>
<td>Allow student to choose:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incorporate student preferences/interests into activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide activities with valued outcomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduce length of task</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide frequent breaks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Modify mode of task completion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change materials/equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide social/emotional support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present easy requests prior to difficult requests</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase predictability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Modify instructional delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use pleasant tone of voice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

http://www.vimeo.com/54954199
Complete Continuum Example

Field Elementary School
- High Diversity
  - School has 290 students; 50% minority; 20% English Language Learners; 13% Special Education
- Instructional leader turnover
- Poverty
  - 79% of students live in poverty
- Highly transient population

Field Elementary School
+ Teachers and Staff committed to increasing academic and social success of all students
+ A committed Principal who supported faculty in their efforts to change the way they taught to improve children’s lives

Field Elementary School
- Academic Standing
  - Only 5% of all students scored proficient in 2005
  - Breakdown by ethnicity:
    - 0% African-American
    - 18% Caucasian
    - 0% Students with disabilities
    - 0% English Language Learners
    - 7% Students living in Poverty
Field Elementary School

• Literacy
  • In 2004–05, 44% students required intensive support for reading and writing

• Social Behavior
  • In 2003-04 Averaging 10.4 discipline referrals per day

Impact on Behavior Problems

Field Literacy Data

Positive Behavior Supports
Structure

Core Reading
90 min, 5 days
week with:

Intervention Groups 45 min,
4 days week, with:
(5th day individual focus)

Tier III
Intensive Intervention
Classroom Teacher
Reading specialists, Sp Ed, ELL,
Sp. Lang.,
K-2 SRA Reading Mastery
3-5 Wilson Reading Systems

Tier II
Strategic Intervention
Classroom Teacher
Classroom Teacher
Reading Mastery or Soar to
Success

Tier I
DIBELS benchmark
Classroom Teacher
Classroom Teacher
Enrichment based on themes of
core program

Core Reading and Intervention Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>9:00-10:30</td>
<td>12:25-12:55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>9:00-10:30</td>
<td>11:30-12:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10:00-11:30</td>
<td>9:15-10:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11:00-12:30</td>
<td>10:15-11:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:45-3:15</td>
<td>1:00-1:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1:00-2:30</td>
<td>2:15-3:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Collection

Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELS)
Benchmark

Tier III
Fall, Winter & Spring. Every Other Week

Tier II
Fall, Winter & Spring. Every Other Week

Tier I
Fall, Winter & Spring. Once a month

Also utilize as needed:
• Developmental Reading Assessment (DRA & DRA-2)
• Scholastic Reading Inventory (SRI)
• District Writing Assessments
Impact on Literacy

- Improved Academic Standing
  - In 2007, 27% of Field’s students scored proficient in 2007 (up from 5%).
  - African American: 0% improved to 16%
  - Caucasian: 18% improved to 57%
  - Students with disabilities: 0% improved to 25%
  - English Language Learners: 0% improved to 27%

Turn & Talk

- What is your school currently doing to support classroom teachers to insure implementation of effective instructional and management strategies in place?
Mental Health & SWPBS

Tier II (small group)
- Efficient and effective way to identify at-risk students
- Screen
- Data decision rules
- Teacher referral
- Informal assessment process to match intervention to student need
- Small group Social Skill Instruction
- Self-management
- Academic Support
- Part of a continuum – must link to universal school-wide PBS system

Tier III (individualized support)
- When small group not sufficient
- When problem intense and chronic
- Driven by Functional Behavioral Assessment
- Connections to Mental Health and Community Agencies (Integrated Framework)
- Part of a continuum – must link to universal school-wide PBS system

FBA – PBS Plan Process
Success requires:
1. Individual(s) with expertise in FBA-PBS
2. Fluency with a clear process among all staff whereby roles are clearly defined
3. A basic understanding of Applied Behavior Analysis (Behavior is functionally related to the teaching environment) among all school staff
**Competing Behavior Pathways Model**

- Setting Events
- Triggering Antecedents
- Problem Behavior
- Acceptable Alternative
- Desired Alternative
- Maintaining Consequences
- Antecedent Manipulations
- Behavior Teaching
- Consequence Manipulations

**Connections to Mental Health and Community Agencies**

www.pbis.org/school/mental-health/interconnected-systems

**Aligning and Integrating Family Engagement in Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS)**

- Concepts and Strategies for Families and Schools in Key Contexts

pbis.org

“Current Topics”
An Interconnected Systems Framework (ISF) Defined

- A **Structure** and **process** for education and mental health systems to interact in most effective and efficient way.
- guided by **key stakeholders** in education and mental health/community systems, youth/family
- who have the **authority** to reallocate resources, change role and function of staff, and change policy.

### ISF Core Features

- **Tiered Prevention** logic
- **Cross-system teams** that include community/mental health providers, youth/family voice
- **Data-based decision making**
  - Formal processes for the selection & implementation of evidence-based practices (EBP)
  - Rigorous **progress-monitoring** for both fidelity & effectiveness
- Ongoing **coaching** at both the systems & practices level
- **Early access** through use of comprehensive screening

### 1. Single System of Delivery

- One set of district/building level behavioral health teams with Community MH actively participating;
- School and community employed staff are on teams and serve all students across tiers;
- Cross training; planning; evaluation for fidelity and impact; and family participation are part of integrated action plan

### 2. Mental Health is for ALL

Lincoln Public Schools
3. Access is NOT enough

All work is focused on ensuring positive outcomes for ALL children and youth and their families.

- Interventions matched to presenting problem using data, monitored for fidelity and outcome
- Teams and staff are explicit about types of interventions students and youth receive (e.g. from “student receives counseling” to “student receives 4 coping skills group sessions”)
- Skills acquired during sessions are supported by ALL staff (e.g. staff are aware that student is working on developing coping skills and provides prompts, pre-corrects, acknowledges across school day)

Adapted from Grant Middle School STAR CLUB
4. Installed and aligned with core features of MTSS framework.

- Teams
- Data-based Decision-making
- Continuum of linked EBP’s
- Screening
- Progress monitoring
- Ongoing PD/coaching

Alignment Self Assessment Section 1: Assessment of Current Initiatives

Step 1: Coordinate and lead alignment process with an executive level team
Step 2: Define the valued outcome(s) to be achieved
Step 3: Develop an inventory of the related initiatives currently being implemented across the district.
Step 4: Organize the list of initiatives per outcomes (similar/different)?
Step 5: Identify the systems, data, practice features for initiatives with similar outcomes.
Step 6: Identify the systems features for initiatives with different outcomes
Step 7: Analyze and make decisions for alignment of initiatives
Step 8: Design the plan for effective alignment including implementation, evaluation and professional development
Turn & Talk

- What is your school currently doing to create integrated social/emotional supports are in place for at-risk students?

Aligning Initiatives

- Universal
  - Social / Emotional outcomes
  - Good “mental health”
  - Foundational Classroom Instruction & Management
- Tier II
  - Embed related classroom practices
- Tier III
  - Early Intensive academic supports
  - MH services map to school-wide system
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